
Гих



RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER DEC 1 5 2004

Grant Prideon, I V 400 N. Sam Houston Parkway Last Suite 900 Heuston, TX 77060 Phone: (281) 878-5655 (281) 878-5720

Jayne Sippl Intellectual Property Administrator

$C \cap V \in R$ SHEET

Date:	Dec 15, 2004		
110.	D. H. Hammond	Licolor	Jayre Elebi
Lawation:	1°TO Unit 2833	Phones	(281) 878-5655
Fax:	703 877.9318	Mobile:	
Phone:		Fax:	(281) 878-5720

Number of pages including cover sheet: 4

Reply to Office Action for 10/605,911

erization, or eredit and everywest, to Dopool Account No. 190694.



RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER DEC 1 5 2004

Grant Prideco, L.P. 400 N. Sam Houston Parkway East Suite 900 Houston, TX 77060 Phone: (281) 878-5655

Fax: (281) 878-5720

Jayne Sippl

Intellectual Property Administrator

FAX COVER SHEET

Date:	Dec 15, 2004		
To:	B. R. Hammond	From:	Jayne Sippl
Location:	PTO Unit 2833	Phone:	(281) 878-5655
Fax:	703.872.9318	Mobile:	······································
Phone:		Fax:	(281) 878-5720

Number of pages including cover sheet: 4

Reply to Office Action for 10/605,911

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required at any time during the prosecution of this application without specific authorization, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 180584.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

David R. Hall, et al

Serial No.: 10/605,911

Filed: 11/5/2003

For: AN INTERNAL COAXIAL CABLE ELECTRICAL CONNECTOR FOR USE IN DOWNHOLE TOOLS

Art Group: 2833

Examiner:

Hammond, B. R.

Docket No.: 66.0040

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 1 5 2004

December 15, 2004

Honorable Director for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is timely submitted in response to the Patent and Trademark Office Action mailed November 30, 2004 for which the shortened-statutory period for response is set to expire December 30, 2004.

Restriction/Election Requirement

The office restricted the application to one of the following inventions for prosecution on the merits:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a coaxial cable connector, classified in class 29, subclass 578.
- II. Claims 15-28, drawn to a down hole tool, classified in class 29, subclass 747.

Applicants elect claims 15-28 for prosecution on the merits, without traverse. Consequently, Applicants respectfully request that claims 1-14 be withdrawn - to the filing of a divisional application.

The office further required applicant to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits. should no generic claim be found allowable: species 1, Figs. 1-6; species 2, Figs. 7 and 8; and Species 3, Figs. 9-12.

Applicants traverse this restriction to particular species, as the requirements for claims to be restricted to particular species as defined in the MEMP 806.04(d, c, and f) do not appear to be met. Furthermore there are at most only two embodiments of the present invention shown, described and claimed the application. These two embodiments are specifically embodiment A, as shown in Figs. 1-8; and embodiment B as shown in Figs. 9-12,

All the elements identified in figures 7 and 8 (Examiner's species 2) are shown in Figures 1-6, and/or are described in the specification as being portions of elements shown in Figs 1-6. The elements referred to by numerals 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 70, 80, 83 are all shown in Figs. 1-6 and are integral with the structure shown and described in these figures. The remaining numerals 71, 72 and 75 are described in paragraph 48 of the specification as being components on the inductive coil 70 as shown in figure 2 and paragraph 37. As described in paragraphs 47-49 of the specification, figures 7 and 8 are merely different views of the invention as shown in figures 1-6. Therefore, Figures 1-8 can only be defined as a single embodiment of the invention.

The remaining figures 9-12 are drawn to a second embodiment of the invention.

Should the Examiner maintain the election to a particular species, claims 15-20, and 24-28 are drawn to both embodiments and therefore may be considered "generic", and claims 21-23 are drawn to the embodiment "B" as shown in Figs. 9-12.

The remainder of this discussion will focus on the reasons for traversing the election of species.

Although two embodiments are shown in the application, specifically embodiment A. in Figs. 1-8, and embodiment B as shown in Figs. 9-12, these embodiments are not mutually exclusive as required in MPEP 806.04(f) for election of species. When comparing Fig. 5 to Fig. 9 and Fig. 7

to Fig. 12, it is clear that with the exceptions of the "protuberance" (numeral 44) and the "flat sidewall" (numeral 50), each major element of embodiment A has an equivalent in embodiment B. It is possible to add the two elements identified above to embodiment A without excluding any others, as is clearly evident in Figure 12. Since the two embodiments are NOT mutually exclusive, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the requirement to elect among species.

Fax: 281-8785720

It is believed that there are no fees due at this time. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required at any time during the prosecution of this application without specific authorization, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 180584. If there are any questions concerning the above, please contact the undersigned at 281-878-5658.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffery E. Daly Reg. No. 44,640

Grant Prideco, L.P.
400 N. Sam Houston Prkwy East
Suite 900
Houston, TX 77060
Ph: 281-878-5658

Fax: 281-878-5720

e-mail: jeffery.daly@grantprideco.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

12/15/04

Signature

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
□ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.